

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2741
Case No. 16-md-02741-VC

This document relates to:

Ginkel v. Monsanto Co.,
Case No. 3:22-cv-00358-VC

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE RENEWED MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Re: Dkt. No. 20662

The motion for leave to file a renewed motion for reconsideration in the above captioned matter is denied. There has been no material change of fact or law justifying a reevaluation of the Court's order denying Ginkel's first motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration. *See* Dkt. No. 20408. Ginkel misunderstands the import of the Court's tentative ruling in *Abel* (Case No. 3:21-cv-7124-VC). Abel was merely classified as overweight, not obese. *See* Dkt. No. 20472 at 7. The evidence of a link between obesity and NHL is much stronger, and the failure to meaningfully consider this issue will always, on its own, be a basis for excluding an expert opinion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 28, 2025



VINCE CHHABRIA
United States District Judge